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## Outline

- Molec ular dyna mic s example
- Problem description
- Stepsto solution
- Build data structures; Compute forces; Integrate for new; positions; Check global solution; Repeat
- Finding concurrency
- Scans; data decomposition; reductions
- Algorithm structure
- Supporting structures


## Credits

- Pa rallel Sc an slides courtesy Da vid Kirk (NVIDIA) a nd Wen-Mei Hwu (UIUC)
- Taken from EE493-AI taught at UIUC in Sprig 2007
- Redction slides courtesy Dr. Rodric Rabbah (IBM)
- Taken from 6.189 IAP taught at MIT in 2007


## GROMACS

- Highly optimized molecular-dynamics package
- Popularcode
- Specific ally tuned for protein folding
- Hand optimized loopsfor SSE3 (and other extensions)



## Memimac Integrates a Scalar Control Unit and a Stream Processing Unit

- GROMACScomponents:
- Non-bonded forces
- Water-water with cutoff
- Protein-protein tabulated
- Water-water tabulated
- Protein-water ta bulated
- Bonded forces
- Angles
- Dihedrals
- Boundary conditions
- Verlet integrator
- Constraints
- SHAKE
- SETILE
- Other
- Temperature-pressure coupling
- Virial calculation


## GROMACS Water-Water Force Calc ulation

- Non-bonded long-range interactions
- Coulomb
- Lennard-Jones
- 234 operations per interaction


$$
V_{n b}=\sum_{i, j}\left[\frac{1}{4 \pi \epsilon_{0}} \frac{q_{i} q_{j}}{r_{i j}}+\left(\frac{C_{12}}{r_{i j}^{12}}-\frac{C_{6}}{r_{i j}^{6}}\right)\right]
$$

## GROMACS Uses Non-Tǐvial Neighbor-List Algorithm

- Full non-bonded force calculation is $\mathbf{o}\left(\mathbf{n}^{2}\right)$
- GROMACS approximates with a cutoff
- Moleculeslocated more than $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{c}}$ apart do not interact
- $\mathbf{O}\left(\mathrm{nr}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{3}\right)$


Effic ient algorithm leads to va riable rate input strea ms
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## G ROMACS Uses Non-Trivial Neighbor-List Algorithm

- Full non-bonded force calculation is $\mathbf{o}\left(\mathbf{n}^{2}\right)$
- GROMACS approximates with a cutoff
- Molecules located more than $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{c}}$ apart do not interact
- $\mathbf{O}\left(\mathrm{nr}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{3}\right)$
- Separate neighbor-list for each molecule
- Neighbor-lists have va riable number of elements
central molecules


Effic ient algorithm leads to variable rate input strea ms

## Parallel Prefix Sum (Scan)

- Definition:

The all-prefix-sums operation takes a binary associative operator $\oplus$ with identity l , and an a ray of n elements

$$
\left[a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right]
$$

and retums the ordered set

$$
\left[1, a_{0},\left(a_{0} \oplus a_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{0} \oplus a_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus a_{n-2}\right)\right]
$$

- Example: if $\oplus$ is addition, then scan on the set
$[31704163]$
retums the set

$[0341111151622]$ | Exclusive scan: last |
| :---: |
| input element is not |
| included in the result |

## Applications of Scan

- Scan is a simple and useful pa rallel building block
- Convert recurences from sequential:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for }(j=1 ; j<n ; j++) \\
& \quad \text { out }[j]=\text { out }[j-1]+f(j) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

- into parallel:

```
forall(j) { temp[j] = f(j) };
    scan(out, temp);
```

- Useful for ma ny pa rallel a lgo nithms:
- radixsort
- quicksort
- String comparison
- Lexic al a nalysis
- Stream compaction
- Polynomial evaluation
- Solving recurences
- Tree operations
- Building data structures
- Etc.


## Building Data Structures with Scans

- Fun on the board


## Scan on the CPU

```
void scan( float* scanned, float* input, int length)
{
    scanned[0] = 0;
    for(int i = 1; i < length; ++i)
    {
                scanned[i] = input[i-1] + scanned[i-1];
    }
}
```

- Just add each element to the sum of the elements before it
- Trivial, but sequential
- Exactly n adds: optimal


## A First-Attempt Parallel Scan Algorithm



Each UE reads one value from the input array in device memory into shared memory array T0. UE 0 writes 0 into shared memory array.

1. Read input from device memory to shared memory. Set first element to zero and shift others right by one.

## A First-Attempt Parallel Scan Algorithm



1. (previous slide)
2. Iterate $\log (\mathrm{n})$ times: UEs stride to $n$ : Add pairs of elements stride elements apart. Double stride at each iteration. (note must double buffer shared mem arrays)

Iteration \#1
Stride = 1

- Active UEs: stride to $n-1$ ( $n$-stride UEs)
- UE $j$ adds elements $j$ and $j$-stride from T0 and writes result into shared memory buffer T1 (ping-pong)


## A First-Attempt Parallel Scan Algorithm



1. Read input from device memory to shared memory. Set first element to zero and shift others right by one.
2. Iterate $\log (\mathrm{n})$ times: UEs stride to $n$ : Add pairs of elements stride elements apart. Double stride at each iteration. (note must double buffer shared mem arrays)

## Iteration \#2 <br> Stride $=2$
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## Iteration \#3 <br> Stride $=4$

## A First-Attempt Parallel Scan Algorithm



1. Read input from device memory to shared memory. Set first element to zero and shift others right by one.
2. Iterate $\log (\mathrm{n})$ times: UEs stride to $n$ : Add pairs of elements stride elements apart. Double stride at each iteration. (note must double buffer shared mem arrays)
3. Write output to device memory.

## What is wrong with our first-attempt parallel scan?

- Work Effic ient:
- A parallel algorithm is work efficient if it does the same a mount of work as an optimal sequential complexity
- Scan executes log(n) parallel iterations
- The steps do n-1, n-2, n-4,... n/2 adds each
- Totaladds: n * $(\log (\mathrm{n})-1)+1 \rightarrow O(\mathrm{n} * \log (\mathrm{n}))$ work
- This sc a $n$ a lgorithm is NOTwork effic ient
- Sequential scan algonthm does $n$ adds
- A factor of $\log (\mathrm{n})$ hurts: 20 x for $10 \wedge 6$ elements!


## Improving Effic iency

- A common parallel algorithm pattem:


## Balanced Trees

- Build a balanced binary tree on the input data and sweep it to and from the root
- Tree is not an actual data structure, but a concept to determine what each UE does at each step
- Forscan:
- Traverse down from leaves to root building partial sums at intemal nodes in the tree
- Root holds sum of all leaves
- Traverse back up the tree building the scan from the partial sums


## Build the Sum Tree

| T | 3 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Assume array is already in shared memory

## Build the Sum Tree



## Iteration 1, n/2 UEs

Each $\oplus$ corresponds to a single UE.

Iterate $\log (\mathrm{n})$ times. Each UE adds value stride elements away to its own value

## Build the Sum Tree



Iteration 2, nI4 UEs

Each $\oplus$ corresponds to a single UE.

Iterate $\log (\mathrm{n})$ times. Each UE adds value stride elements away to its own value

## Build the Sum Tree



## Iteration $\log (n), 1$ UE

Each $\oplus$ corresponds to a single UE.

Iterate $\log (\mathrm{n})$ times. Each UE adds value stride elements away to its own value.
Note that this algorithm operates in-place: no need for double buffering
© David Kirk/NVIDIA and

## Zero the Last Element

| T | 3 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

> We now have an array of partial sums. Since this is an exclusive scan, set the last element to zero. It will propagate back to the first element.

## Build Scan From Partial Sums

| T | 3 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Build Scan From Partial Sums



Each $\oplus$ corresponds to a single UE.

Iterate $\log (\mathrm{n})$ times. Each UE adds value stride elements away to its own value, and sets the value stride elements away to its own previous value.

## Build Scan From Partial Sums



Iteration 2 2 UEs

Each $\oplus$ corresponds to a single UE.

Iterate $\log (\mathrm{n})$ times. Each UE adds value stride elements away to its own value, and sets the value stride elements away to its own previous value.

## Build Scan From Partial Sums



Done! We now have a completed scan that we can write out to device memory.
Total steps: 2 * $\log (n)$.
Total work: 2 * ( $n-1$ ) adds $=O(n) \quad$ Work Efficient!
© David Kirk/NVIDIA and
Wen-mei W. Hwu, 2007

## Reductions

- Many to one
- Many to many
- Simply multiple reductions
- Also known asscatter-add and subset of parallel prefix sums
- Use
- Histograms
- Superposition
- Physical properties


## Serial Reduction



## Tree-based Reduction



- $n$ steps for $2^{n}$ units of exec ution
- When reduction operator is associative
- Especially attractive when only one task needs result


## Rec ursive-doubling Reduction



- $n$ steps for $2^{n}$ units of exec ution
- If all units of execution need the result of the reduction


## Rec ursive-doubling Reduction

- Better than tree-based approach with broadcast
- Each units of execution has copy of the reduced value at the end of $n$ steps
- In tree-based approach with broadcast
- Reduction takesn steps
- Broadcast cannot begin until reduction is complete
- Broadcast can take n steps (architecture dependent)


## Other Examples

- More pattems
- Reductions
- Scans
- Building a data structure
- More examples
- Search
- Sort
- FFTasdivide and conquer
- Structured meshes and grids
- Sparse algebra
- Unstructured meshes and graphs
- Trees
- Collections
- Particles
- Rays

